UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/508,846	09/23/2004	Naohito Tomoe	257517US2PCT	8098
	7590 10/10/200 AK, MCCLELLAND 1	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE ST	REET	KISS, ERIC B		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2192		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/10/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/508.846 TOMOE ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Eric B. Kiss 2192 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Eric B. Kiss. (3) Ronald Rudder (Reg. No. 45,618). (2) Sameer Gokhale. Date of Interview: 18 September 2007. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: __ Claim(s) discussed: 1 (proposed). Identification of prior art discussed: Saito. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Application No. 10/508,846

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Parties discussed a proposed amendment to claim 1 in order to define over the Saito reference. Specifically, applicants' representatives proposed additional language to emphasize multiple signal lines (transmitting input data and output data) from a single functional block. The examiner suggested some minor modifications to the proposed amendment consistent with the expressed intent of applicants' representatives. Additional dependent claims containing more specific features were also discussed. The examiner indicated that the amendments appear to distinguish over the limited disclosure of Saito, but further search and consideration would be necessary upon receiving applicants' formal response.

PTOL-413A (09-06)
Approved for use through 03/31/2007, OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form							
Application No.: 10/508,896 First Named Applicant: TomoE Examiner: ERIC B. KISS Art Unit: 2192 Status of Application: Non-FINAL								
Tentative Participants: (1) ERIC B. KISS (2) ROWALD RUDDER (Leg. # 45, 618)								
		(4)						
Proposed Date of Interview: 9/7/07 Proposed Time: 10:30 (AMPM) Type of Interview Requested: (3) [] Video Conference								
								Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [] YES [YNO] If yes, provide brief description:
Issues To Be Discussed								
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed			
(1) REJ.	1-17	Art SAITO	[]	[] J	[]			
(2)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	[]	[]	[]			
(3)			[]	[]	[]			
(4)	et Attached		[]	[]	[]			
Brief Description of	Arguments to	be Presented: How	THE PROPO	SED AMEN	OMENTS_			
DIS TINGUISH	OVER TA	UE PRIOR ART.						
(see MPEP § 713.01).	oot be delayed fo applicant is adv	e above-identified applied by applicant and submoments issue because of applyised to file a statement of	cant's failure to s the substance of (ubmit a written	record of this 7 CFR 1.133(b))			
Typed/Printed Name	of Applicant of	or Representative						
Registration	Number, if ap	plicable						

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FRES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.